# **Exhibit G**

1	In the United States District Court
2	For the District of South Carolina  Rock Hill Division
3	Civil Action No.: 0:10-cv-2809-CMC
4	Kevin Faile, Louis C.
5	Roman, Alan R. DePalma, ) and Brian Scott Craton, )
6	all individually and on ) behalf of all other )
7	similarly situated ) individuals, )
8	Plaintiffs, )
9	) Deposition of vs. ) <b>LINDA EDWARDS</b>
10	Lancaster County, South ) Carolina, )
11	) September 30, 2011  Defendant. )
12	)
13	Deposition on oral examination of Linda
14	Edwards, reported by Jeffrey M. Thomas, Registered
15	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for
16	the State of South Carolina; said deposition taken
17	pursuant to agreement and in accordance with the
18	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, at the Offices of
19	Gignilliat, Savitz & Bettis, LLP, 900 Elmwood
20	Avenue, Suite 100, Columbia, South Carolina, on
21	September 30, 2011, at the hour of 10:30 a.m.
22	
23	GARBER REPORTING SERVICE, INC. POST OFFICE BOX 12348
24	COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211 (803) 256-4500
25	(003) 230 4300

1	Appearances
2	Representing the Plaintiffs:
3	DAVID E. ROTHSTEIN, ESQUIRE Rothstein Law Firm, P.A.
4	514 Pettigru Street Greenville, South Carolina 29601
5	Greenville, South Carolina 29601
6	Representing the Defendant:
7	CHRISTOPHER W. JOHNSON, ESQUIRE Gignilliat Savitz & Bettis, LLP
8	900 Elmwood Avenue, Suite 100 Columbia, South Carolina 29201
9	COLUMBIA, BOUCH CALOTTHA 29201
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	appear to be the pull-down menu for the wage and
2	hour?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And it lists you, Steve Savitz, Chris
5	Johnson, and Fred Williams?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. How long would you say you've been
8	concentrating on wage and hour cases?
9	A. 1985. I concentrate on other things, too,
10	but I began working on wage and hour cases in 1985.
11	Q. Okay. And do you recall what the
12	circumstances were in 1985 that caused you to focus
13	on wage and hour cases?
14	A. The Supreme Court case.
15	Q. Garcia?
16	A. Garcia. And we had a number of public
17	sector clients. And Julian asked me to get up to
18	speed on the law and the new regulations.
19	Q. You would agree with me that the Fair
20	Labor Standards Act is a very technical, challenging
21	area of the law, wouldn't you?
22	A. Well, I agree that there are a lot of
23	areas that are technical and challenging.
24	Q. It's got a fairly complicated set of
25	statutes and regulations that can trip up employers?

1	had let me ask you this way. What is the biggest
2	Fair Labor Standards Act liability your firm has
3	been involved in, either judgment, settlement,
4	verdict?
5	A. Probably the South Carolina Forestry
6	Commission, that I can recall. There may be others.
7	That is the one that comes to the top jumps to
8	mind.
9	Q. Okay. When was that case litigated?
10	A. Maybe the late '80s, early '90s.
11	Q. What were the issues in that case?
12	A. It involved the tower operators for the
13	South Carolina Forestry Commission and what
14	constituted compensable sleep and mealtime or
15	non-compensable sleep and mealtime.
16	Q. All right. Do you recall how many
17	plaintiffs were in that case?
18	A. I think there were 25.
19	Q. And were they 24-hour shift employees?
20	A. That was one of the issues. No, they were
21	not 24-hour shift employees.
22	Q. All right. So the sleep regulations, I
23	don't know if they had actually come into being at
24	that time. The 75.22, were those applicable in your
25	situation?

1	A. Those came those became applicable, I
2	think, in '86 is when they were issued. I really
3	can't remember.
4	Q. Okay. And what was
5	A. One thing I do need to point out. The
6	tower operators reside at the tower.
7	Q. Okay.
8	A. They live at the tower. They have a house
9	at the base of the tower. That is why their sleep
10	and mealtime issue came in. So they were not on a
11	shift or a tour of duty.
12	Q. Like a lighthousekeeper?
13	A. Probably a good analogy.
14	Q. What was the outcome of that case?
15	A. It settled.
16	Q. Do you recall what the settlement was?
17	MR. JOHNSON: Is it public record?
18	THE WITNESS: It's public record,
19	yeah, because it was handled through the court.
20	BY MR. ROTHSTEIN:
21	Q. Do you recall what the settlement was?
22	A. For some reason I want to say \$750,000,
23	but please don't hold me to that. It is in the
24	file.
25	Q. Now, you've mentioned that the Garcia case